Bundesstiftung Baukultur, it is caused by the deep-rooted German fear to make mistakes. And as a consequence, too many rules, which in turn hinders innovation. In certain sectors, however, innovation does flourish: infrastructure, education, housing. Despite the annoying bureaucracy and regulations, in these can be found sufficient space for experimentation, leading to new collaborations, new techniques and a new role for architects.  $\leftarrow$ 

↑ The foundation for Baukultur is located in Potsdam, in the state of Brandenburg. Springer Architects, together with Weidinger Landscape Architects, won the competition to remodel and extend the century-old brick building (completion 2011). They did so by inventing a garden as an extension of the house and adding a new floor on top, both using the same material as found – altogether an exemplary process and project of Baukultur and architecture.



↑ With a staff of five people, plus freelancers and interns, the Federal Foundation for *Baukultur* strives to initiate and to be a platform for debate on the quality of the built environment in Germany.



↑ Baukultur is about balancing contradictory opinions. Through the young online platform bkult.de, polemic questions are discussed among prominent or engaging opponents. Polls, comments and arguments build the structure of a biweekly culture of debate initiated by the foundation in 2011 with a concept by Ilka and Andreas Ruby and Something Fantastic.

### A liveable future with Baukultur

MICHAEL BRAUM, CEO / CARL ZILLICH, EDITO

We are pleased to guest edit this edition of Eurovision, as a young institution and concept that has a story to tell, not just about a culture of building.

Following an idea for a foundation that communicates with a wider audience about architecture, engineering, urban design, landscape architecture, city planning, interior design, conservation, and so on, and originating from concerned interest groups and others, the federal government finally embraced the idea and stepped in as its founder.

In early 2008, a small staff took up the challenge to orchestrate a debate, accompanied by the so-called 'Convent of *Baukultur'* – about 350 stakeholders and awardwinning professionals, together with a chief executive and administrative board. While the foundation is dependent on federal funding, it works independently from day-to-day politics. In our first five years, we have initiated debates and collaborated with nationwide and local initiatives that go beyond the celebration of architecture and our ability to shape the built environment.

Beginning with reconstruction and the future of postwar Modernism, and followed by *Baukultur* as public infrastructure, we chose subjects where many open questions

 $\psi$  Baukultur is not (only) for professionals. Therefore the foundation advertises its on-site discussions with images to which everybody can relate. The pigeon was chosen for the 2010 baukulTOUR in Gelsenkirchen, a walk through and discussion about the downtown pedestrian zone. (Design: phantom)



exist between those who plan or design and the decision-makers, politicians and administrations involved. Additional platforms, in cooperation with stakeholders, were concerned with the future of housing or workspaces while addressing the role of the real-estate and construction industries.

For all these fields of interest, different formats of communication and debate were implemented. Through workshops and conferences, or public walks and events, we reach various audiences, from the decision-makers to the general public. By now there are numerous local and regional transdisciplinary associations concerned with *Baukultur* throughout the country – a necessary base to build on.

Baukultur has spread across Germany and beyond over the last decade in an attempt to address the ever-growing fragmentation of professions and responsibilities concerned with the built environment. We believe that it holds the opportunity to go beyond interdisciplinary projects and transdisciplinary challenges. We need a common ground, a culture of building rooted in society as a whole, so we can deliver what we do best: designing a liveable future for all. ←

Info www.bundesstiftung-baukultur.de



↑ Baukultur cannot be negotiated at roundtables, but instead must face reality. Therefore, the foundation has integrated the 'Strollology' of Lucius Burckhardt, questioning the qualities of our built environment on-site with the help of dialogues between so-called experts and the interested public, like here in Karlsruhe with Benedikt Loderer (2011).



↑ Baukultur challenges the perception of our everyday as the foundation initiates artistic interventions in public spaces. They relate to the issues in a particular city, carrying the questions addressed beyond the inner circles and into the media. Shown is the nomadic construction site by KARO\* architects in Leipzig (2011).

Eurovision

Guest edited by a leading national organization in one of the European countries. Within a range of eight pre-selected themes each country strives to give deeper insight into the current state of architectural affairs:

Urbar Greet Connecting Socia Offbea Globa Futur The dar





Since the start of the federal foundation in 2008, Michael Braum, as president, and Carl Zillich, as head of research, are devoted to delivering Baukultur throughout Germany. Michael Braum (left) has prace Michael Braum
(left) has practiced as city planner and urbanist
since 1980. In
1998, he became
a tenured professor at Leibniz Hanover. He also chairs the jury

of the prestigious German Urban Design Award (Städtebaupreis) and is the author Carl Zillich (right) is an architect who has taught, lectured and published on an el. Realized and



### The fear to make mistakes

Housing is returning as a central theme in Germany, with a focus on housing inside the cities. But a booming real estate market followed by increasing rents in popular areas makes housing a political topic as well. How can innovative methods be found when regulations and safety precautions bar paths toward new solutions? According to Michael Braum and Carl Zillich, 'Baukultur and its debate – and shaking off the (typically German) fear to make mistakes. takes – that's the only way to find new strategies for affordable housing and to make necessary connections between city planning, mobility, technical sensibility and architecture.

**GERMANY** — TEXT: INDIRA VAN 'T KLOOSTER PHOTOGRAPHY: TILL BUDDE

the typically German concept meant to relate architecture to society and politics?

Michael Braum: Baukultur is building and culture, expertise and common sense, acting and reflecting. We strongly believe that Baukultur is necessary to push back the lawyers and plied construction, applied styles, applied technology, applied bureaucratic view on every step we take. With this comes the holistic longing for beauty, especially the possibilities that lie in contemporary solutions.

Carl Zillich: Our goal is to make the culture of planning and building a topic of public interest and debate, to foster a demand for quality by the decision-makers and the general public. We push for excellence of processes and products in all fields that relate to the built environment, also beyond architecture. IvtK: So now you've turned to affordable housing.

MB: Yes, but it is only one field of action which we focus on. Since the government pulled out of the housing market in the 1990s, the issue needs more attention. Where and how can we produce dwellings for today's needs, and what do we need to

do for families to come back into the city? How can we finance that? What should they look like?

**CZ:** The problem starts before we build in the interrelations of public investment in housing, but ever-growing regulations. Codes for energy-efficiency make housing an expensive, highexcellent, but is it affordable, and are the resources directed housing not only cheaper but also more open to innovation, including sustainability.

#### IvtK: Why aren't there fewer rules?

**MB:** Because we have lost an important part of our *Baukultur*: to aim for the best, each in his field, but balancing the different intentions at hand. Politics through regulations and subsidies you need cooperation, trust, a common ground. We have lost that in the last decades. So it is not about style, but quality. A difficult discourse to establish, even among different generations of architects. The older generation works with the of engineering and architecture, in politics, administrations, regulations, still aiming for everlasting buildings. And we have chambers of architecture and commerce – not to forget the clithe younger generation that is experimenting with cheaper materials and shorter life spans.

Indira van 't Klooster: How would you describe Baukultur, | IvtK: Isn't German architecture famous for its pragmatic and technical quality?

MB: Yes, but we could use more conceptual approaches. German schools for architecture have a tradition of 'applied' rather than experimental solutions. We need to go beyond apsociology, applied economics, etc.

CZ: We are too afraid to make mistakes. No one wants to fail. That is why everybody is perfecting their own turf and missing the dialogue with the surrounding expertise. We need to put interdisciplinary work back on the agenda and work on transdisciplinary concepts.

### IvtK: Why this fear for mistakes today?

CZ: We are perfectionists. We want everything to be at least a hundred per cent! But in what? An experimental attitude is absent in our Baukultur. And if we do, our experiments are isolated, not part of our everyday life! Young architects are not welcomed in Germany, like elsewhere, but we need them. We must dare to test things out.

### IvtK: So how could the direction in architecture be steered towards experiment?

**CZ:** The technical skills do not have to be a contradiction politics and architecture. With this I mean not just the lack of | to the experimental. We do have those successful collaborations between engineering and architecture. But the system has to become more flexible and honest. Today certain tech endeavour; perfectionist safety regulations add to that. | regulations and economies prevent us from using clay in-Germany's reputation for sustainable architecture might be stead of concrete in buildings up to three or more floors, as Manfred Grohmann points out (see page 45). We need to liberinto the true quality of living spaces? Fewer rules would make ate architects to do what they are trained to do – integrating, not dissecting! With that attitude one can fail, but we need those experiences.

> **MB:** We need to broaden the scale of architectural approaches! <u>IvtK:</u> If this happens, where does innovation come from?

**MB:** It comes from in between the disciplines. Infrastructure. schools, workspaces, housing – all need to be considered in works with quantitative measures. For qualitative measures | relation to each other. We don't have many institutions that work like this. We need think tanks and practitioners who deviate from the mainstream.

> ents! That's why we call it Baukultur. There are no top-down revolutions. ←





KINDERGARTEN LICHTENBERGWEG, LEIPZIG

Susanne Hofmann Architects developed a new child-care centre through an intense participatory planning process which has provided differentiated indoor and outdoor spatial experiences and learning environments since 2012.

# Learning by doing

Baupiloten, became famous throughout Germany for its conversions and installations. She began with design-and- | SH: I think both are needed – restraint and design intent. I am and has since become an in-demand expert for educafuture users is an important part of the design approach.

Carl Zillich: What was the starting point of the idea for the Baupiloten? Was it as a result of shortcomings in school construction or in architectural education?

Susanne Hofmann: People were lamenting how little architecture graduates were prepared for professional practice – they were either unsuited to it, or they were not trained for the offices in which they would later pursue careers. That's where the idea of making students the main actors in real projects came from – 'building pilots' (Baupiloten) who are involved in learning by doing. The students did everything, from defining the task with the user to developing their own ideas, which became individual designs in collaboration with the users. The conceptual-academic process ran parallel to the practical process of communicating with the user and working on the real design that would be built.

#### CZ: What was the role of the user in this process?

SH: It all started when the Erika Mann Primary School in Berlin was to be given a facelift in collaboration with pupils from the third grade and upwards. 'A path through the garden of the future' became an area of focus, from which much ambient material could be drawn. The students were able to take up on precisely that and to develop it into concrete design proposals in exchange with the pupils.

CZ: Isn't the bottom line that, despite all of this, the children end up drawing the pictures while the students design the spaces?

SH: Children think from the very beginning in three-dimensional worlds, which they are already able to describe very well using words. The young 'client representatives' therefore speak about how they would like to be able to feel their environment and the students translate the essence of that into spaces. Models make collaboration easy and perspective drawings can give the pupils an idea of this new world, which they are able to critically examine. The students become the mediators between desire and reality. The pupils are happy with the mere fact that they are often experiencing self-efficacy for the first time.

For years, Susanne Hofmann has been combining architec- | CZ: When speaking of space as a third teacher, many warn tural teaching and practice in a unique way. Her project, against designing it too efficaciously. How do you deal with such a supposition?

build participatory projects with students of the TU Berlin, told again and again that our architecture stimulates the children's imaginations. Critics claim that the children will no tional buildings. For Susanne Hofmann Architects, involving | longer be able to develop their own fantasies. We experience the opposite because the design is only the beginning, which can be reflected upon, used and shaped. ←

Info www.baupiloten.com



ERIKA MANN PRIMARY SCHOOL, BERLIN In the socially disadvantaged Berlin area of Wedding, the Baupiloten developed ideas and collages with the pupils for a retrofit of the building that was built during the era of the German Empire. As a result, the school, the self-esteem of the pupils and the architectural education at the TU Berlin changed significantly in two phases between 2002 and 2008.

# Openness in design

they attracted much attention by winning diverse competitions. A defining feature of the practice is that its approach to architecture derives from the task and context at hand, meaning they do not appear to have a signature style.

Carl Zillich: What are the differences if you compare German school buildings with those in other European countries?

Patrick Ostrop: I can only really directly compare with Scandinavia – Denmark in particular – and they are somewhat will open up to new spatial concepts. Since we became familiar | cator of the value of our architecture. with almost all types of schooling through two projects, I can say that primary schools appear to be further advanced than of the architect? secondary. In competition tenders, one is often still faced with PO: We do not bring a characteristic bof school concept to the mere lists of classrooms and access areas. Interest in change still appears to be absent on many levels.

CZ: How do clients, educators, architects and even pupils and parents come together? Are competitions at all adequate when it comes to such complex correlations?

PO: Competition tenders that do not involve the schools themselves are tragic, especially when the school administration | Info http://bof-architekten.de

In 2003, Patrick Ostrop set up bof architects in Hamburg | simply ignores the future users. The knowledge of the teachwith Bert Bücking and Ole Flemming. As a young practice, ers in a specific place is decisive, but not all architects are open enough to let users contribute to the process. Perhaps openness when it comes to design also needs to be learned and isn't necessarily compatible with all approaches to design.

CZ: What do you mean by 'openness when it comes to design'? **PO:** Participation is just as important before a competition as after. The structure of the design must outlive the competition because it is important that the user can recognize himself in it. From that point of view it is important that the user is adequately involved in the jury. In Wolfsburg, it was apparently ahead of us. A lot of convincing has to be done before teachers | a high school student who convinced the consultant adjudi-

CZ: In all of this, what becomes of the characteristic style

table – on the contrary, openness takes us to the ideal school each time. We do, however, salvage certain design decisions for ourselves. The users tell us in what relation the rooms should be placed to one another and we decide what those rooms will look like. ←



TOR-ZUR-WELT EDUCATIONAL CENTRE, HAMBURG
Within the context of the Hamburg International Building Exhibition 2013, bof architects won a competition with a project that transformed a collection of multi-generational educational institutes into a coherent educational landscape. They used wood as the main facade material, which is untypical for site and function, and created unique classrooms by playfully applying polygonal forms



Despite the fact that an on-site participatory workshop had already taken place and a design had been created for the extension of an existing mixed secondary school complex, the client chose to hold an invited international competition, which bof architects wor in contrast to an obvious extension, they suggested a new structure to consolidate the square 1960s and '70s building volumes, thus providing completely novel spatial possibilities and combinations.

# Dancing in chains

Mike Schlaich has been running schlaich bergermann | applies to the wealth of experience on supporting structures; **high-profile architectural practices. The spectrum of the** | fore very sustainable. practice's involvement, from long-span and lightweight | CZ: How does the collaboration between architect and to everyday building projects, is particularly evident in the area of bridge construction.

Carl Zillich: Your father, Jörg Schlaich, and his partner, Rudolf Bergermann, passed the practice to a younger and where is the continuity within this change of gen-

Mike Schlaich: Just like Bergermann and my father, the four new partners are committed to the classical principles of | tell which part belongs to whom in the end. And that is how it engineering. There was no upheaval; the transition took place | should be. rather discretely. [My father's] thinking in principles and concents – such as the 'spoke wheel' for stadiums, the 'colander' for shells, the 'curving beam' for bridges, and the 'tennis racket' for facades – is still relevant to this day. On top of that is homage to history; knowing one's own history and building upon

CZ: Does that mean that there are no new inventions these days and that there are only evolutions? What about materials and technologies?

MS: I do believe strongly in this 'evolutionary character' and on to do it any other way because we bear a lot of responsibility. | their leeway in decision-making to the last. ← and are therefore per definition conservative – if we move too quickly, the risk increases and that can cost human lives. That Info www.sbp.de

und partner since 2002, with offices in Stuttgart, Berlin, new materials come on top of that. Today such materials as New York, São Paulo and Shanghai. The world is familiar | carbon fibre composites and heat-insulating concretes are apwith this new generation of structural engineers thanks | pearing. Our practice is committed to lightweight construction, to football stadiums, often realized in collaboration with which minimizes the amount of materials used and is there-

> engineer work? Do you operate as equals, or who takes the lead, and when?

MS: We are convinced that the art of building cannot be separated, and we do not share the opinion that the architect is responsible for beauty and the engineer only for the calcugeneration. Where do you consider the differences to be, lations. When it comes to erecting buildings, the architect is the team leader, and when it comes to bridges, the engineer is. If you start to work as a team at an early stage and if things go smoothly, it often happens that it is impossible to

rules and regulations, particularly in Germany?

MS: Volkwin Marg once described the architectural profession as 'dancing in chains', which is a lovely description of the challenge. As engineers, we must be competent in the technicalscientific fields as well as in regard to the creative components. The restrictions cut both ways – good designs evolve particularly when the conditions are difficult, but it is frustrating when even small innovations are made difficult by a lack of flexibility in the regulations. Luckily we manage to find couramoving forwards in small steps – civil engineers cannot afford | geous clients and test engineers who are willing to stretch



Engineering Germany

CHRISTIAN GARDEN, BERLIN A pergola consisting only of letters and quotes from the Bible was developed in collaboration with relais landscape architects to create a contemplative light and shadow area. Using a specially developed font and aluminium alloy to make four-metre-high walls that are merely three centimetres thick, a space that is only





EXENTERHALIS BOCHLIM EXENTERHAUS, BOCHUM In many German cities, overground bunkers that date back to World War II are often destined to a sad existence because neith their removal nor re-use is economically viable. The architect Gerhard Spangenberg developed an office building in collabora tion with the engineers that transformed the existing form and integrated its heavy plinth into a dynamic larger form.

SLINKY SPRINGS TO FAME' BRIDGE, OBERHAUSEN According to the concept by artist Tobias Rehberger, a coloured ribbon connects the two sides of a park, which are separated by a navigation canal. In order to make the lightness of the curving spiral shape constructible, a stress-ribbon bridge made of very tough steel was integrated into the concept. The springy, artificial surface of the pathway and the lighting and colour concepts underscore the liveliness of the structure.



The structural engineers were part of the design team of this prestigious building by Coop Himmelb(I)au from the first phase of a 2003 worldwide open competition to rebuild the headquarters of the ECB in the vicinity of the former large market hall by Martin Elsässer.

## Innovation equals collaboration

Manfred Grohmann set up an engineering practice in 1983, in collaboration with Klaus Bollinger, which has since become a global player with branches in several cities. Apart from high-profile projects by Coop Himmelb(I)au, NOX, OMA, SANAA, Zaha Hadid and others in Germany, the pricollaborate closely with architects to build everyday buildings while also testing a variety of innovative ideas.

Carl Zillich: Your practice represents a particularly strong intersection between architecture and engineering. How did that come about?

Manfred Grohmann: Developing architecture in collaboration with architects was not part of our engineering training. However, we had other people to look at, such as Stefan Polónyi. He, along with Frei Otto and Jörg Schlaich, represented an integrastructural efficiency, was thought through starting from the architecture. We have the great advantage of working with architects who work in very different ways. It is always fascinating for us to become absorbed in new ideas of architecture, to be enhanced and improved by the structure.

CZ: Where does innovation come from in Germany?

**MG:** New developments in architecture generally come from universities. Students of architecture in particular always know 'which way the wind is blowing' and chase potentials. This was certainly the case in the rapid rise of computer-aided design. For example, in 1999 we worked on the first almost perfectly built blob – the BMW Bubble with Bernhard Franken – with a beta version of Rhino, which came from the university

CZ: Are such things as parametric design really relevant for everyday business, particularly for the architects you teach? MG: It is not only relevant to complex geometries, it is also a matter for everyday life. Klaus Bollinger developed the digital tool Karamba in collaboration with the University of Applied Arts in Vienna, where he teaches, and with our Vienna office. It allows structures to be calculated in real time on-screen.

CZ: Does that involve the infamous delegating of decisions

MG: Not at all! Karamba does not replace the work of the engineer – it gives him and the architect qualitative feedback on

how the structure works. Many of the offices we collaborate with work in parallel on both computer and model. Design decisions are, however, almost always made using a model.

#### CZ: Of what relevance is structural efficiency, or more precisely, the use of resources?

MG: Sustainability involves more than saving resources. A high-profile, complicated structure which becomes a catalyst of urban development is not wasteful. A ceiling with a clear span of fourteen metres can be very efficient for the life cycle of a building because it is more flexible for varying uses. Investors often build with the intention of making a quick profit. The amount ority of Bollinger + Grohmann Ingenieure is continuing to invested is kept low and after a short period the structure has to be demolished and something new is built. It is hardly possible to develop corporate identity or added value to the benefit of building culture within such a context. The building industry is also becoming less of an innovation partner because attitudes are changing in that area, too.

### CZ: However, Germany continues to be a leading force in the sustainability debate. Or are we on the wrong path? What is your opinion about embodied energy?

MG: Obviously there still remains a lot to be achieved, although it does seem to be moving in the wrong direction when tive approach to architecture in which a specific topic, such as | energy-saving regulations for new buildings are becoming so strict that detached homes somewhere in the countryside have to be built as plus-energy houses. Neglect of the topic of embodied energy, i.e. the primary energy that is inherent in building materials, means that in residential construction, for understand them and to think about how the architecture can | example, concrete continues to be the most prolific material, although clay and timber would make much more sense from an energy point of view. ←

Info www.bollinger-grohmann.de



CELTIC MUSEUM, GLAUBURG
The building by kadawittfeldarchitektur extends into the open landscape as a counterpart to the hill of a Celtic burial place. Close collaboration between the architects and engineers has resulted in a simple structure despite an eleven

# Rebuilding Germany

Post-war Modernism in Germany remains a significant cultural marker, an essential component and tangible legacy of a time during which the divided nation struggled to recover from a devastating conflict. Christian Welzbacher explores this phenomenon and the ways in which it continues to be approached in architectural practice today.

TEXT: CHRISTIAN WEI 7RACHER

he cities of Germany are still largely shaped by post- | Berlin as throughout almost the whole of Germany. Pragmaof its own, known in architectural history as post-war Modernism. From a stylistic point of view, however, it has never been were built on individual plots rather than collective, coheras homogenous as the name suggests. Firstly, because buildings from that period differ according to their location and the | in the 1960s, post-war Modernism left behind a multilayered extent of damage the area suffered. It depended on whether | concerto of design types whose voice cannot be overlooked, or it was a matter of reinstating historical buildings or streets, of | ignored, to this day due to the sheer mass of buildings. providing living space, of reusing existing structures, or of removing rubble and completely re-planning whole city districts. | the past since the 1980s is not only reflected in a departure Secondly, every community approached the problem in its from such models as the 'loosened up and structured city', funcown way, resulting in fundamental differences in reconstruction. Conservative Düsseldorf was considered a repository for | tionalistic aesthetics. Another clear sign was that the initial blindly obedient planners who had begun their careers under | 1950s-era buildings were recognized as witnesses of the past General Building Inspector Albert Speer. Münster erected historicising fronts that mimicked the destroyed gable houses at | former head of Monument Preservation, Hiltrud Kier – played Prinzipalmarkt. In Frankfurt am Main, there was a continuity | a leading role. It received recognition throughout Europe, of classical Modernism: former colleagues of Ernst May domilalthough initially only in specialist circles. nated there, striving to apply the CIAM ideals and the Athens Charter. Despite such differences, reconstruction took place in | widely recognized such that one can now speak of a broad

1945 architecture and urban design. Those years of re- tism quickly replaced utopian ideals planned on the tabula construction and economic miracle represent an era | rasa of the former city - ruins were secured and rebuilt, the infrastructure below the city was tapped into, improvisations ent ensembles. Apart from large suburban settlements built

The fact that post-war Modernism has become a thing of tional separation on an urban design level, or modernist-funcand listed as protected buildings. The city of Cologne – and its

This first period of post-war Modernism has since become



Rebuilding Germany



THEEHOUSES, HAMBUNG
Energetic renovation, aesthetic transformation and an extension of 64 apartments (approximately 9000 m²) is how Hamburg practice blauraum approached the renovation of five (originally two-storey) late-1950s apartment slabs in the green district of Hamburg-Alsterdorf, resulting in the 'Treehouses' concept. An independent statement and an adaptation to contemporary living requirements. The former one- to three-room apartments were between 40 and 70 m² in size the observations to the transfer of the statement and an experience of the statement of the statement and an extension of the statement 70 m² in size; the new apartments in the 'tree canopy' are between 90 and 140 m² and have between two and four rooms. Complet



URBAN VILLAS LEINEFELDE-WORBIS

Stefan Forster architects from Frankfurt am Main accompanied the shrinking process of the former GDR industrial city of Leinefelde with several projects. The transformation of a prefabricated slab building into urban villas (2001 – 2004) remains a model project and continues to set standards to this day. Forster succeeded in breaking up the serially-planned layouts and instead accommodated several different types of maison. and instead accommodated several different types of maison ette apartments. The insulated facades have been given strong



BURG GIEBICHENSTEIN. HALLE

TREEHOUSES, HAMBURG

BURG GIEBICHENSTEIN, HALLE
The prestigious Burg Giebichenstein University of Art and Design consists of a variety of buildings dating from medieval times to the 20th century. This complex as a whole and its individual buildings have been the subject of a comprehensive renovation and adaptation process in recent years. The Berlin practice Anderhalten Architects (see Interview in A10 #24) transformed a modest 1950s-era building into a sparkling gem at a cost of six million euros, clad in gold-anodized aluminium elements and restructured inside (approximately 2500 m²) with lecture theatres, offices and studios.

acceptance of 1950s architecture in Germany. That tendency | earned a reputation with several of these projects, and also set is gradually spreading to architecture of the 1960s and 1970s. Local lobby groups form to prevent demolition of characteristic post-war Modernist buildings. In Hanover, the parliament building of the Federal State of Lower Saxony – inaugurated in 1962 and built according to a stringently Cubist design by Dieter Oesterlen – was defended in this manner. After tough struggles, threatened legal proceedings and protests, the members of parliament, already having voted to erect a new building, decided in July 2012 to renovate the existing building. In Bonn, the Beethovenhalle concert hall by Siegfried Wolske, built in 1957 and listed since 1990, went through a similar process; it was to be replaced by a new building – a 'gift' from several companies. A group of local citizens prevented the building's demolition; heated discussions continue as to what measures should be taken to renovate and extend the building.

The majority of post-war Modernist architecture in Germany currently inhabits this spectrum between demolition and protection. Renovation, no matter how sensitive, has proven to be just as multifaceted as post-war Modernism itself. The issue of 'continuing' post-war Modernism is currently one of the biggest areas of debate in Germany; it dominates theory and practice, architectural journals, faculties of architecture and exhibition venues.

Within this context, one of the most radical projects has become renowned: the retrofit of former GDR industrial me- | and which later hit back with violent force. Post-war Moderntropolis Leinfelde. Characterized by factories and concrete, ism is a symbol of a drastic societal turning point that Germany prefabricated structures and by unstoppable emigration, this shrinking city opted for a urban revamp. Five-storey prefabricated buildings were not simply demolished, they were failure of a piece of architecture. It represents the processing of transformed into single-family terraced houses or maisonette apartments; they were renovated, optimized to become more energy efficient, and their facades were given new coll reason that post-war Modernism is, and will remain, such a 

new standards in how to approach so-called 'concrete panel structures'. Apartment and settlement structures erected between 1950 and 1980 are generally part of a category of buildings that require the utmost sensitivity, innovation, creativity and, above all, budgeting of retrofit architects; for example, the 'Treehouses' in Hamburg.

In Dresden, it is now recognized that a late-Modernist urban ensemble such as Prager Strasse should never have been altered to its present form. The relationships between the recessed block building and the low shopping pavilion have become almost unrecognizable as a result of densification processes. The politically motivated demolition of iconic post-war Modernist buildings such as the Palast der Republik (Heinz Graffunder and collective), inaugurated in 1977, would be unthinkable today without public debate and protest. Nevertheless, there are also some recent examples of defining 1960s and 1970s buildings disappearing. These include the Technical Rathaus, which was located beside the Gothic Dome in Frankfurt am Main and has now been demolished to make way for partial reconstruction of the once famous half-timbered town destroyed during the war.

Perhaps this example, in particular, quite clearly demonstrates the fate of post-war Modernism, although on a lesser scale, in other places: buildings from the reconstruction period mirror the war which was carried by Germany into the world is still struggling with to this day. Each and every renovation, each demolition, is therefore about more than the success or mentality, culture, society, democracy and responsibility, which is also transmitted internationally. It is precisely for this



Fair-faced concrete as far as the eye can see. As a milestone of German Brutalism and one of the largest theatre buildings of its time, Staatstheater Darmstadt was renovated in adherence with monument protection regulations in 2007, and the open spaces around it were revitalized as part of the construction of an underground car park in 2010 (design: Lederer, Ragnasdottir, Oei). The new entrance building and the pavilions on the forecourt correspond to one another through their sturdy materiality, taking up the aesthetics of the historical building in contemporary formal language.



In 2012, eins:eins architects succeeded in comprehensively renovating a 1960s office building at 88 Potsdamer Strasse, Berlin. Under other circumstances it would have undoubtedly been demolished. The proportions and structure of this old building remained intact, while the rest was altered; a new soundproof glass envelope, large swinging windows, perforated metal pane These measures have instilled the formerly banal building with



RE-DENSIFICATION OF NEUE MITTE, ULM

Although the urban framework plan to scale down a traffic axis at the centre of the Swabian city of Ulm was completed in 1998 (design: Guther, Lutz and Schwenk), the project only attracted attention throughout Germany when a couple of characteristic new buildings by Braunfels architects were completed in 2008. Two blocks – at the beginning and end of the former axis – reduct the scale of the street and transform the traffic-dominated city

### Global meets local

The architecture of the younger generation of architects in Germany is as diverse as the country itself. From north and south, and east to west, we have selected realized projects which show German *Baukultur* is not about style, but about context, and at the same time, closely linked to the global discourse about the possibilities of architecture.



GNADLER.MEYN.WOITASSEK www.gmw-architekten.de

Future Germany

Opposite Stralsund's Jacobi Church, this inserted private home Opposite Stralsund's Jacobi Church, this inserted private home demonstrates the outstanding quality that contemporary architecture can achieve, also within an UNESCO World Heritage Site. The two available plots have been almost entirely filled, whereby the split building reflects its neighbouring structures in distribution and height. This project represents a surprising, economically and ecologically appropriate – as well as an architecturally outstanding – building, particularly within the context of this shrinking East German city.



KRAUSSCHÖNBERG Tobias Kraus and Timm Schönberg, Hamburg/Constance www.kraus-schoenberg.com

'H27D' RESIDENTIAL AND OFFICE BUILDING, CONSTANCE
This building's perforated facade derives from its function and
is oriented towards the neighbouring existing buildings. Its deeply
moulded exterior walls, which could be manufactured monoithically due to the use of light concrete, give the building its outstanding character. The increased stringency of energy-saving regulations would make this structure, which is sustainable on many levels, impossible to build today. Thus it is an even more significant architectural response to the challenges of the



REALITIES:UNITED

'TRANSREFLEX' FACADE INSTALLATION, MAGDEBURG Altogether, seventeen reflective shutters move in different directions and angles on the oldest building in Magdeburg, a former monastery, now the Kloster Unsere Lieben Frauen Museum of Art. The meeting of historical masonry, the post-war Modernist architecture opposite and the old trees nearby creates a surprising effect. The complexity of an animated facade, implemented elsewhere by the architects as illuminated facades, finds an



MODULORBEAT

GOLDEN WORKSHOP, MÜNSTER This star-shaped building was located on the central Domplatz as a temporary space for artesian education within the context of a special exhibition on medieval treasury art. The goldsmith workshop with display windows was created as a design-and-build project at the local architecture school, selected by a jury and built in collaboration with the students.



**LUDLOFF & LUDLOFF** ff and Jens Ludloff, Berlin

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTRE, DOGERN The architects have created a mystical building of persuasive but unobtrusive sculptural qualities, both inside and out, that pits itself against the architectural banality of a German industrial estate. A translucent skin intensifies the spatial experience on both sides of the facade. Light and shadow, foreground and background, take the eye on an exploration of unobtrusive structural details



Ina-Maria Schmidbauer, Patrick von Ridder and Peter Scheller, Munich

TRUCK DEALERSHIP, OBERSCHLEISSHEIM

INDUK DEALERSHIP, OBERSCHLEISSHEIM
A distinctive building was created to replace a container ensemble that had prevailed over many years at a rather unusual workplace – a truck dealership. As if dropped off there, the clad timber-steel structure oversees the trucks and provides a view of the gigantic car park. With a limited budget, the pragmatics of operating procedures were translated as an interpretation of the context and shaped into contextual architecture of a different kind.



POOL 2 Tore Pape, Kassel www.pool2-architekten.de

MUNICIPAL SERVICE CENTRE, MELSUNGEN

MUNICIPAL SERVICE CENTRE, MELSUNGEN
This new inter-municipal service centre building combines public facilities and services, which used to be distributed throughout the town, in one central location – right at the entrance to the quiet timber-frame town of Melsungen. The ridge of this new building is oriented perpendicular to the 1960s 'Forsthaus', thus continuing the alternately arranged roofscape of the town's neighbouring peripheral buildings. A precise building envelope made of fibre cement slabs underscores the diagrammatic interpretation of traditional building typology.



**GRUPPE OMP**Oliver Ohlenbusch, Sven Martens and Oliver Platz, Rastede/Bremen www.gruppeomp.de

MOTORWAY STATION RESTROOMS, CREMLINGEN

While the norm in other countries, restrooms alongside Germar roads are usually characterized by the absence of architecture. This pilot project responds to the many demands placed upon it through a pragmatic approach to the design-adverse conditions. The volumes made of prefabricated concrete components have been clad in steel grating, symbolically overstated and artistically framed (by Andreas Uebele) around the entrance area. The contrast between technical structure and a pleasant opening creates a provocative effect of its very own quality.



MOTORPLAN Johann Bierkandt, Jean Heemskerk, Urs Löffelhardt and Bernhard Wondra, Mannheim

EXTENSION TO THE POP ACADEMY, MANNHEIM EXTENSION TO THE POP ACADEMY, MANNHEIM
It rarely happens that a new building is extended by the same architects only a few years later. This was the plan from the very beginning for this site along a canal, to allow the stacking of heterogeneously designed volumes, one on top of another. The new section differs from the original as a result of its extensive, monochrome facade. In so doing, the building demonstrates a certain degree of abrasiveness, which is appropriate to this area containing many industrial buildings.



**AMUNT**Björn Martenson, Sonja Nagel and Jan Theissen, Aachen/Stuttgart

'JUSTK' SINGLE-FAMILY HOME, TÜBINGEN

'JUSTR' SINGLE-FAMILY HOME, I UBINGEN
A small site was available to accommodate the space required
for a family of two adults and four children. The compact volume
rises with irregular angles in tower-like fashion in order to adhere
to the required distance from the neighbours. This spatial volume
is disguised by avoiding a clear differentiation between walls
and roof. The prefabricated, massive timber structure has been
clad in a correspondingly uniform weather-protective skin.



Volker Halbach, Rüdiger Ebel and Carsten Venus, Hamburg www.blauraum.eu

PORT LOGISTICS STATION, HAMBURG
This building positions itself as a functional structure with a simple shape within an endless network of tracks. Its ground floor social spaces and first floor office spaces for the handling of freight trains in the port of Hamburg are enclosed by a tiled facade. The building is accentuated by rooftop south-facing solar modules, which extend the volume below in an asymmetrical manner. The result is a simple yet sculptural form that gives the place its own identity and provides a solution for integrating renewable energy in architecture.